Management of a natural floodplain wetland for mitigation of non-point source pollution

F. Douglas Shields, Jr.

Casey N. Wilson

Charles T. Bryant

Richard E. Lizotte, Jr.

Sam Testa III

USDA ARS NATIONAL SEDIMENTATION LABORATORY
OXFORD MS

Problem Statement

- Non-point source nutrient pollution is a major problem in the United States.
- Many sites exceed EPA guidelines for N and P concentrations
- N and P pollution can dramatically alter marine ecosystems.

NPS in Mississippi





Hypothesis

- NPS pollution can be trapped and processed by natural wetland
- Riverine wetland receives water from runoff and river overflow
- Study period: 6/15/2007-11/28/2008

Site Description

- 500 m long severed meander bend of the Coldwater River in Tunica County, MS
- 2 main inputs: drainage pipe & connection with river
- Two weirs constructed during restoration
- Wetland cell and lake cell created

Drainage Structure Operation

Typical Installation and Recommended Component Items--

- Weir boards removed on 12/1
- Weir boards replaced on 3/1



Wetland Conditions Time Series









Wetland Conditions Time Series





Wetland Conditions Time Series



Methods-Data Collection



- ISCO 2150 Area Velocity Flow Module in drainage pipe
- Onset Water Level Recorders in wetland, lake, and river
- Weekly grab samples from all sites

Methods-Water Budget



Methods-Water Budget

$$\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta t} = Q_{evap}(t) + Q_{pipe}(t) + Q_{drainw}(t) + Q_{drainl}(t) + Q_{stonew}(t) + Q_{stonel}(t)$$

- Balance ΔS with inflows and outflows during each time step
- Flow over weirs can be either critical or normal
- Nonlinear regression used to adjust coefficients and exponents for weir flow formulas
- Nutrient loads calculated

Concentrations









Wetland Water Budget, (millions of m^3)



Wetland SS Budget



Wetland TP Budget



Wetland FP Budget



Wetland NO_3 Budget



Wetland NH_3 Budget



Wetland TN Budget



Monthly Net Flux









Monthly Net Flux, cont.









% Nutrient Retention vs Net Flux of Water



Conclusions

- Nutrient pollution from agricultural runoff is a problem globally, nationally, and locally
- With the exception of NO_3, the wetland proved effective in reducing concentrations of pollutants
- The wetland significantly reduced overall loads of all parameters
- Wetland performs best in drier periods, when retention time is increased
- More work is needed to assess performance during periods of intense interaction with the river